Transcript of the Testimony of

### **Judy Singley**

March 2, 2011

Singley v. Aacres Landing, Inc., et al.

No. C09-5443 RBL



#### **Byers and Anderson, Inc.**

Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing Seattle/Tacoma, Washington

scheduling@byersanderson.com www.byersanderson.com

One Union Square: 600 University Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, WA 98101-4128

Seattle: 206 340-1316 Toll Free: 800 649-2034

Old Town District: 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 Taccoma, WA 98403-3360

Tacoma: 253 627-6401 Fax: 253 383-4884

emen A...

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

JUDY SINGLEY, individually and as

Guardian for DANA LOUISE SINGLEY,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. C09-5443 RBL

AACRES LANDING, INC., a Corporation,
and the STATE OF WASHINGTON,
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH
SERVICES, DIVISION OF DEVELOPMENTAL
DISABILITIES,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUDY SINGLEY

March 2, 2011

Tacoma, Washington

Byers & Anderson, Inc.

Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing

One Union Square 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 600 University St. Tacoma, WA 98403 (253) 627-6401 (253) 383-4884 Fax (206) 340-1316 scheduling@byersanderson.com (800) 649-2034 www.byersanderson.com.

Serving Washington's Legal Community since 1980

	rage z
1	A DDE A DANICE C
	APPEARANCES
2	For the Plaintiffs:
3	Jeffrey R. Caffee Pfau Cochran Vertetis Kosnoff
4	911 Pacific Avenue Suite 200
5	Tacoma, WA 98402 253.777.0799
6	253.627.0654 Fax jeffrey@pcvklaw.com
7	Jeilleyepevkiaw.com
8	For the Defendant State of Washington:
9	Ian M. Bauer
10	Assistant Attorney General 7141 Cleanwater Drive Southwest
11	P.O. Box 40126 Olympia, WA 98504-0109
12	360.586.6310 360.586.6655 Fax
13	ianb@atg.wa.gov
14	For Defendant Aacres Landing, Inc.:
15	Dennis J. La Porte
16	Krilich, La Porte, West & Lockner 524 Tacoma Avenue South
17	Tacoma, WA 98402 253.383.4704
18	253.383.8053 Fax Laporte@524law.com
19	
20	Also present: Chad Reilly Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc.
21	Court Reporters & Video
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 3
1		EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY:		PAGE NO.
3	Mr. Bauer		6
4	Mr. La Porte		71
5			
6		EXHIBIT INDEX	
7	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
8	Exhibit No. 1	25-page Individual Support Plandated 8/9/07; 01040917-941	n 19
9	Exhibit No. 2	5-page Individual Support Plan dated 1/31/08; 01040942-947	25
11	Exhibit No. 3	25-page Individual Support Plandated 10/2/08; 01040880-904	n 33
12 13	Exhibit No. 4	4-page Individual Support Plan dated 11/30/08; 01040905-908	33
14	Exhibit No. 5	27-page Individual Support Plandated 7/10/09; 01040746-772	n 35
15 16	Exhibit No. 6	27-page Individual Support Pladated 10/7/10; 04010001-027	n 36
17	Exhibit No. 7	9-page Individual Support Plan dated 11/30/10; 04010039-047	36
18 19	Exhibit No. 8	1-page ISP Meeting Wrap-up dat 10/2/08; 01040912-913	ed 39
20	Exhibit No. 9	1-page Assessment Meeting Wrap-up dated 10/7/10; 0401004	4 O 8
21	Exhibit No. 10	from Mr. Garrett and Ms. Borde	51 n
23 24	Exhibit No. 11	Ms. Pech dated 5/1/08;	61
25		01040639-643	

#### Case 3:09-cv-05443-RBL Document 56-1 Filed 04/14/11 Page 5 of 35

#### Byers & Anderson Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing Seattle/Tacoma, Washington

Page 4

			Page 4
1	EXHIBIT	INDEX (Continuing)	
2	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION	DN	PAGE NO.
3	Exhibit No. 12 2-page Wai	ver Exception-to-Rule ated 6/29/07; 01040955	e 62
4	Exhibit No. 13 2-page Wai		
5	Request da	ated 8/16/07; 01040951	1
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	•		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Judy Singley March 2, 2011

		Page 5
1		BE IT REMEMBERED that on Wednesday,
2		March 2, 2011, at 911 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington,
3		at 1:34 p.m., before Cindy M. Koch, Certified Court
4		Reporter, RPR, CRR, CLR, appeared JUDY SINGLEY, the
5		witness herein;
6		WHEREUPON, the following proceedings
7		were had, to wit:
8		
9		<<<<< >>>>>
10		
11		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
12		record. My name is Chad Reilly, videographer for Byers &
13		Anderson, Court Reporters & Video. Our address is 2208
14		North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, Washington 98403.
15		Our telephone number is (253) 627-6401. Today is
16		March 2nd, 2011. The time is now 1:34 p.m.
17		This is the videotaped deposition of Judy Singley,
18		being taken on behalf of the defense in the matter of
19	·	Singley vs. Aacres Landing, Inc., and the State of
20		Washington, Cause No. CV 05443. The deposition is being
21		held at 911 Pacific Avenue in Tacoma, Washington.
22		Will the attorneys present please introduce
23		themselves for the record.
24		MR. CAFFEE: Jeff Caffee, for the
25		plaintiff.

1		need my glasses. I'm at that age. If I can find my
2		glasses.
3	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Ms. Singley, you've been handed what's
4		been marked as Exhibit 1 to this deposition.
5		What is this document?
6	A	It says, "Individual Support Plan, Current Initial DDD
7		Assessment Details."
8	· Q	There's an assessment date of August 9th of 2007.
9		Do you see that?
10	A	Yes, I do.
11	Q	Now, your daughter Dana is a client of the Department of
12		Social & Health Services, correct?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	And to be specific, she's a client of the Division of
15		Developmental Disabilities, correct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Do you believe that your daughter is developmentally
18		disabled?
19	A	I do, yes.
20	Q	And how would you, in your own words, describe what her
21		disabilities are?
22	<b>A</b>	Well, it's very complicated because she appears so high
23		functioning that sometimes she can fool the experts. I
24		believe, and this is what she's been diagnosed by
25		different providers, bipolar, Asperger's syndrome,

		r age 2
1		obsessive-compulsive. She's had different diagnoses in
2		the past, but those are what I would consider the main
3		
		ones.
4	Q	To the best of your understanding, is your daughter
5		currently experiencing the symptoms of PTSD?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Any and any other current diagnoses that you can
8		think of?
9	A	I can't think of any others right now.
10	Q	Fair enough.
11		An individual support plan, or ISP, in your own
12		words, what is this document? What is an ISP?
13	A	Well, to me, that means to me, it comes down to based
14		on on what we feel her needs are that qualifies her
15		for services from the State. That's what I think it
16		boils down to.
17	Q	Okay. And the ISP also addresses some specifics about
18		what services Dana might need, correct?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	And in order to prepare an ISP, how or let me back up
21		and strike that.
22		How is an ISP prepared?
23	A	The caseworker comes out to the house on an annual basis,
24		and then she has a laptop and we go through a series of
25		questions. And it it takes a pretty long time. And

		r age 22
1		then that's what it's based on. And then we get a draft
2		in the mail for me to sign, and then it's in her file.
3	Q	Who is Dana's current caseworker?
4	A.	Teri O'Donnell.
5	Q Q	And so Ms. O'Donnell would come out to you
6	A.	Uh-huh.
7		
	Q 7	and meet with you, correct?
8	A	Me, and hopefully Dana's out of bed because she likes her
9		to be part of it.
10	Q	Okay. But you would participate in the in the
11		preparation of an ISP
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	generally speaking?
14	A	Yes.
15	, Q	Okay. And if we look at the document that's been marked
16		as Exhibit 1, with an assessment date of August 9th of
17		2007, both you and Dana participated in the creation of
18		this ISP, correct?
19	A	If that's what it says. I can't remember back that far,
20		but
21	Q	Well, if you turn over to Page 2 of the exhibit strike
22		that.
23		Have you ever not participated in the preparation of
24		an ISP?
25	A	No.

_			9
	1	Q	Okay. And if you turn over to Page 2 of Exhibit 1,
	2	×	towards the top, there's a section marked "Other Sources
	3		
			of Information."
	4		Do you see that?
	5	A	Yes, I do.
	6	Q	And it identifies both you and your daughter Dana,
	7		correct?
	8	A	Yes. Excuse me.
	9	Q	So you would have participated in the preparation of this
	10		ISP, right?
	11	A	Yes.
	12	Q	And if when you're sitting down and talking with
	13		Ms. O'Donnell, just generally speaking I'm not talking
	14		about August of '07 here, so let me ask the question
	15		again.
	16		Generally speaking, when you're working with
	17		Ms. O'Donnell to prepare an ISP, you have the opportunity
	18		to suggest revisions to the services that Dana's
	19		receiving at that time, correct?
	20	A	I don't remember that.
	21	Q	Well, if you think let me let me put it this way:
	22		If you believe that Ms. O'Donnell strike that.
	23	A	Uh-huh.
	24	Q	Hypothetically speaking, if Ms. O'Donnell were to come to
	25	•	your house tomorrow to talk about to do a new

_			Fage 21
	_		
	1		Do you see that?
	2	A	I do.
	3	Q	Had you ever filed an appeal with DSHS over the level of
	4		services that had been provided to Dana?
	5	A	I did not.
	6	Q	And just to make sure, that is your signature, correct,
	7		on the last
	8	A	Yes.
	9	Q	page of Exhibit 2?
	10	A	Yes.
	11	Q	Thank you. I
	12	A	Can I expand upon that answer, or is that appropriate or
	13		not?
	14		MR. CAFFEE: Well, just wait for him
	15		to pose a question and
	16		THE WITNESS: Okay.
	17		MR. CAFFEE: then you answer.
	18		THE WITNESS: Okay.
	19	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) So you testified a minute ago that you
	20		have you've never filed an appeal with respect to the
	21		services being provided to your daughter?
:	22	A	I have not.
	23	Q	So what is it that you feel needs to be explained about
	24		that?
	25	A	I've never done the process of the right to appeal.
-1			

1		However, I was in contact with Aacres and DDD all
2		along phone calls, memos, e-mails with concerns
3		that I had. And frankly, appealing, I never got anywhere
4		doing that. I just felt the efforts were fruitless.
5	Q	Well, just to be clear, you never you never filed an
6		appeal with DSHS about the services that were described
7		in an ISP or summary of an ISP?
8	A	I did not, no.
9	Q	Did you ever request additional services beyond what had
10		been agreed to?
11	A	I've many times said to DDD that we need to look at where
12		she's staying because it's not appropriate services for
13		Dana. Her care isn't being taken care of.
14	Q	And when you say that, where are you referring to?
15	A	Where, like where she would go, you mean?
16	Q	No, no, no. Where you're saying that where she's
17		staying is inappropriate. I'm asking where you're
18		referring to when you're talking about that.
19	A	When she was at 67th Street
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	by Aacres, it was a horrid condition for her.
22	Q	So you asked DDD to move her to a different residence?
23	A	Yes. To help me find a place for her.
24	Q	When did you make those requests?
25	A	Many times, many times.

1	A	No. But they didn't help me, either. Is that the
2		answer I mean, I is that the question, or
3	Q	My question was whether they prevented you from doing
4		that?
5	A	No. Whoops. Sorry. I'll take them off.
6	Q	When did Dana first move to Aacres, approximately?
7	A	Oh, I don't remember the year, actually. She would have
8		been like 19-ish.
9	Q	How often, generally speaking, is Dana's ISP reviewed?
10	A	Well, we do it annually. It's typically in October,
11		November.
12	Q	As of the to come back to Exhibits 1 and 2 and the ISP
13		that was prepared in October of 2007, and the summary
14		that you signed in January of 2008, did you disagree with
. 15		any of the with anything that's set forth in either
16		Exhibit 1 or 2 in any way, shape, or form?
17	A	No, I don't think so. We kind of when Teri, the case
18		manager, comes to the house, we kind of come to a
19		decision and base it on that.
20 .	Q	And she consults with you about that decision, correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you believe that she takes your opinions into
23		consideration when preparing an ISP generally?
24	A	I think she does.
25	Q	Do you believe that Ms. O'Donnell takes Dana's thoughts

		Page 33
1		into consideration when preparing the ISP?
2	A	I do.
3	Q	And just to make sure we're clear, that last question, I
4		was asking about preparing ISPs in general, not a
5		specific one.
6	A	Right.
7	Q	Okay. That was your understanding?
8	A	Yes.
9		(Exhibit Nos. 3-4 marked
10		for identification.)
11	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) So, Ms. Singley, you have been handed
12		what have been marked as Exhibits 3 and 4 to this
13		deposition.
. 14		What is Exhibit 3?
15	A	Individual support plan, current annual DDD assessment
16		details.
17	Q	And it's for an assessment dated October 2nd of 2008,
18		correct?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	And you participated in the preparation of this ISP,
21		correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And what is Exhibit 4?
24	A	Individual support plan, current DDD service summary.
25	Q	And again relating to the October 2, 2008, assessment,

<b>A</b>	correct? Yes.
	ies.
$\cap$	
Q	And you received a copy of both Exhibits 3 and 4 in 2008,
	right?
A	Yes.
Q	Did you disagree with anything at all in Exhibits 3 and 4
	when you prepared this ISP or received the ISP and
	summary in 2008?
A	Not that I recall, no.
Q	And when you sat down with Ms. O'Donnell to prepare this
	ISP, you again had an opportunity to suggest any changes
	or revisions to your daughter Dana's services, correct?
A	Correct.
Q	And you didn't do that, did you?
A	No, I didn't.
Q	And you didn't file an appeal with respect to the
	services that were being provided, correct?
A	Correct.
Q	And Exhibit 4, if we could turn back to that one for one
	quick second. This is an accurate summary, Exhibit 4, of
	the services that were identified in the 2008 ISP,
	correct?
A	Correct.
Q	And of the services that she was receiving at that time?
A	Yes.
	Q <b>A</b> Q <b>A</b> Q

		Page 35
1		(Exhibit No. 5 marked for
2		identification.)
3	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Ms. Singley, you've been passed what's
4		been marked as Exhibit 5 to this deposition.
5		Do you recognize this document?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	This is an individual support plan and current term DDD
8 .		assessment details regarding a July 10, 2009, assessment,
9		correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And again, you participated in the preparation of this
12		document, correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And you had an opportunity to suggest any changes and
15		revisions you might like, correct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you didn't do that, did you?
18	A	No.
19	Q	And you didn't file any appeal with DSHS regarding the
20		services that were being provided to Dana as of July of
21		2009, correct?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	I may have just asked you this, and if I did, please
24		forgive me, but you did you disagree with anything at
25		all that's set forth in Exhibit 5 when you participated

		rage 50
1		in its preparation
2	A	I don't
3	Q	in July of 2009?
4	A.	believe so. I'm sorry. I don't believe so, no.
5		MR. BAUER: Did that get down, Cindy?
6		
		THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
7		MR. BAUER: Thank you.
8		(Exhibit No. 6 marked for
9		identification.)
10	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Ms. Singley, you've been handed what's
11		been marked as Exhibit 6 to this deposition.
12		And what is this document?
13	A	This says "Individual Support Plan, Current Annual DDD
14		Assessment Details."
15	Q	And this is for an assessment dated October 7th, 2010,
16		correct?
17	A	Correct.
18		MR. BAUER: We'll go ahead and mark
19		No. 7 right now, as well.
20		(Exhibit No. 7 marked for
21		identification.)
22	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Ms. Singley, you've also been handed
23		what's been marked as Exhibit 7.
24		What is this document?
25	A	Individual support plan, current DDD service summary.

		Page 37
1	Q	Again relating to the October 7, 2010, assessment,
2		correct?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And you participated in the preparation of what's been
5		marked as Exhibit 6, right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And you had an opportunity to suggest any changes or
8		revisions you would like to this document, correct?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	And did you, as of October of 2010, disagree with
11		anything set forth in Exhibit 6?
12	A	Not that I recall, no.
13	Q	And Exhibit 7, the current DDD service summary, this is
14		an accurate summary of the services that were being
15		provided to your daughter Dana as of October of 2010,
16		correct?
17	A	Yeş.
18	Q	And if we turn towards the back of this, there are two
19		fax signature pages, and the one on the last page, and
20		then two pages before that, there are do you see those
21		two signature pages?
22	A	Yes, I do.
23	Q	They appear to have been sent at two separate times, so
24		that's why I called your attention to them, but on the
25		but they appear to be the same signatures. Is that do
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2 3 A 4 Q 5 6 A 7 Q 8 9 A 10 Q 11 12 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 A 18 Q 19 20 21 22 A 23 Q 24

		Page 38
1		you agree with that?
2	A	Yes, I do.
3	Q	Do you recall why this might have been faxed twice?
4	A	Maybe I just guess that it's a problem with the fax
5		machine. Other than that, I wouldn't know.
6	Q	Fair enough.
7		And that is your signature on the line for legal
8		representative, correct?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And is that your daughter Dana's signature on the line
11		for participant?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And did you observe her sign that document?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you didn't file any appeal with the Department of
16		Social & Health Services regarding the assessment and the
17		services that were being provided as of October of 2010,
18		correct?
19	A	Correct.
20		MR. CAFFEE: Perhaps now would be a
21		good time to take a quick little bathroom break? You
22		want to take a short break?
23		THE WITNESS: Yeah, we can. We can.
24		MR. BAUER: I'd actually like to
25		finish this line of questioning. I've got two documents.

		r age 33
1		This will take no more than two minutes.
2		MR. CAFFEE: Okay.
3		THE WITNESS: That's fine.
4		(Exhibit No. 8 marked for
5		identification.)
6	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Okay. Ms. Singley, you've been handed
7		what's been marked as Exhibit 8.
8		What is this document?
9	A	ISP meeting wrap-up.
10	Q	And if you look at the second page, there's a legal
11		representative's signature.
12		Do you see that?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	That's your signature, correct?
15	A	Yes, it is.
16	Q	And the initials down the left-hand side of the first
17		and you see there's two lines of boxes, or two rows of
18		boxes, columns, I guess is a better word, but they're
19		strike that.
20		There are two columns of boxes that run down the
21		first and second page, and on each, the left-hand box
22		is there's a squiggly in it.
23		Do you see that?
24	A	On the first page, you mean?
25	Q	And continuing onto the top of the second page.

		Page 40
1	A	Oh, yes. Yes.
2	Q	And that is those are your initials, correct?
3	A	Yes, they are.
4		(Exhibit No. 9 marked for
5		identification.)
6	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) And you signed Exhibit 7 excuse me
7		you signed Exhibit 8 on October 2nd of 2008, correct?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Ms. Singley, you've been handed what's been marked as
10		Exhibit 9 to this deposition.
11		What is this document?
12	A	Assessment meeting wrap-up.
13	Q	And down about two-thirds of the way down the page, there
14		are two signatures.
15		Do you see that?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	The first one is appears to be Dana Louise Singley,
18		correct?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And the second one appears to be your signature; is that
21		correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Did you witness that is your signature from
24		October 7th of 2010?
25	A	Yes, it is.

		r age +1
1	Q	And did you witness your daughter sign this on
2	Z	October 7th of 2010?
3		
	A	Yes.
4	Q	And on the there's two again, two columns of boxes
5		on Exhibit 9, and the left-hand box is checked on each,
6		or there's an X through it.
7		Did you make the Xs in those boxes?
8	A	Yes.
9		MR. BAUER: Let's go ahead and take a
10		bathroom break.
11		MR. CAFFEE: Okay.
12		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The deposition will
13		now go off the record. The time is 2:23 p.m.
14		(Recess.)
15		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on
16		the record. The time is 2:31 p.m. Please proceed.
17		EXAMINATION (Continuing)
18		BY MR. BAUER:
19	Q	Ms. Singley, in June of 2007, Dana stopped residing at
20		the residence on South 67th Street, correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What is your understanding as to how that came about?
23	A	My understanding is that this is what I understood
24		from Dana, and then the facts that appeared afterwards.
25	Q	And let me just back up one step.

1	Q	Yes. And relayed that information to the hospital?
2	Q A	Yes.
3	Q	And that strike that.
4		And you said that Aacres had determined that they
5		could no longer provide services to Dana; is that right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	So Aacres made that decision, right?
8	A	Well
9	Q	To the best of your understanding?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	Through this lawsuit, are you alleging that the
12		Department of Social & Health Services is responsible for
13		that decision that was made by Aacres?
14	A	That's hard to answer that. I would hope that at least
15		they talked together to make that decision. If that's
16 .		the case, you know, I have to ask a question to answer a
17		question, who is responsible?
18	Q	Who do you believe is responsible?
19	A	Whoever if it's jointly, whoever decided to do that
20		without allowing any plans.
21	Q	Are you aware of any evidence suggesting that it was DSHS
22		that made that determination?
23	A	I think they were part of the decision.
24	Q	What's that based upon?
25	A	If I recall, and I don't know the facts, when I talked

1		finally did talk to Rex, I believe he said in a meeting
2		with and it might have been anita delight, it might
3		have been the regional person, I don't know, but someone
4		within DSHS was involved. It wasn't the case it
5		wasn't Teri O'Donnell. It was somebody higher up.
6	Q	How is it that you understand that they were involved?
7		Just that they had a meeting with Mr. Garrett?
8	A	Because they were discussing Dana's situation, and I
9		would have hoped that I'm the legal guardian, I would
10		have been part of that.
11	Q	So just to make sure I understand, you believe that DSHS
12		is responsible for that decision because they had a
13		meeting with Mr. Garrett, or may have had a meeting with
14		Mr. Garrett?
15	A	I think it was a joint decision.
16	Q	And the only basis for that is a comment from
17		Mr. Garrett, that he had a meeting with the department
18	A	Correct.
19	· Q	someone
20		You weren't at any such meeting?
21	A	No. I was not invited.
22	· Q	And you have no knowledge whatsoever about what may have
23		occurred, if such a meeting in fact occurred
24	A	No.
25	Q	correct?

		Page 50
1		Answer, if you can.
2		THE WITNESS: I would answer the
3		question that, whoever collaborates with Aacres to make a
4		decision life-threatening to my daughter would be
5		partially responsible. Whether that's DSHS, whether it's
6		President Obama, whoever it is.
7	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Do you believe that anybody at DSHS
8		intentionally harmed Dana?
9	A	Intentionally, no.
10	Q	Do you believe that anybody at DSHS intentionally
11		discriminated against Dana because of her disabilities?
12	A	Intentionally, no.
13	Q	Not a single person at DSHS that you can think of; is
14		that correct? I just want to make sure I understand.
15	A	I don't think so, no.
16	Q	You certainly haven't seen any evidence that shows you
17		that happened?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Other than what we've already discussed, is there what
20		else is strike that.
21		Other than what we've already discussed, what else
22		is this lawsuit against DSHS about, in your own words?
23	A	If indeed they were part of the decision-making, I think
24		they failed to provide services for Dana.
25	Q	And let's I understand that, so let's move separate

		i age of
1		and apart from any decision regarding Dana continuing to
2		reside I'm sorry. I tend to mumble when I get
3	A	That's okay.
4	Q	So let me strike that and back up.
5		So I want to move away from any decision regarding
6		Dana continuing to receive services from Aacres. Okay?
7	A	Okay.
8	Q	Is there anything else in the entire universe that this
9		lawsuit is about with respect to DSHS?
10	A	No. It involves that incident.
11	Q	And that incident occurred on about June 22nd of 2007,
12		correct?
13	A	I believe so. I'm not good with dates, but I think so.
14		MR. BAUER: Can we make a couple
15		copies real quick?
16		MR. CAFFEE: Yeah.
17		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record,
18		Counsel?
19		MR. BAUER: Yes, please.
20		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The deposition will
21		now go off the record. The time is 2:46 p.m.
22		(Pause in proceedings.)
23		(Exhibit No. 10 marked for
24		identification.)
25		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on
i		

		1 uge 02
1		
1		options, such as the use of the mental health crisis
2		mobile outreach team and/or use of a DDD respite bed and
3		longer-term options, such as referrals to other supported
4		living programs, adult family homes, boarding homes or a
5		residential rehabilitation center."
6		Did I read that correctly?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And you spoke with Ms. O'Donnell about all of those
9		things on June 22nd of 2007, correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	You didn't want you did not believe that any of these
12		options were appropriate for Dana at that time, correct?
13	A	I didn't think she needed a crisis bed.
14	Q	And in fact, you were offered a bed at Rainier School for
15		temporary for temporary purposes, correct?
16	A	I don't recall that, but I don't recall that.
17	Q	Okay. Are you telling is it that you don't remember,
18		or you're saying that didn't happen?
19	A	I don't remember.
20	Q	Okay.
21		(Exhibit No. 12 marked for
22		identification.)
23	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Ms. Singley, you've been handed what's
24		been marked as Exhibit 12 to this deposition. This is
25		I'll represent to you, this is a Waiver Exception-to-Rule

	****************	
1		Request that was signed by the Division of Developmental
2		Disabilities director, Linda Rolfe, on July 5th of 2007.
3		Do you see that?
4	A	Yes, I do.
5	Q	Now, the department has rules about what types of
6		services it can and can't provide, right?
7	A	Yes.
8	, Ŏ	This document I want to make sure I understand that
9		your understanding of this document is that the
10		department waived some of its rules to provide you with
11		services beyond what the rules allowed it to provide. Is
12		that right?
13	A	Well, I don't know about that, but
14	Q	Well, if you look down at the there's a section
15		entitled "Justification" that appears to be filled out
16	A	Oh, yes.
17	Q	with some bold type. The fourth full paragraph in
18		there reads, "Mother's request for in-term home support."
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And it references or it states that you were
21		requesting certain services from the department, correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Is it your understanding that the department waived its
24		rules so that it could provide you with those services?
25	A	Yes.

		i age of
1	0	So the department said, the rules say we can only do this
	Q	
2		much, but we're going to waive those rules and do a lot
3		more, right?
4	A	Yes.
5		(Exhibit No. 13 marked for
6		identification.)
7	Q	(By Mr. Bauer) Ms. Singley, you've been handed what's
8		been marked as Exhibit 13 to this deposition.
9		Do you see this is again, I'll represent to you,
10		a Waiver Exception-to-Rule Request signed by Ms. Rolfe on
11		August 21 of 2007.
12		Do you see that?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Where again the department is waiving its rules, to
15		provide you with services beyond what it is technically
16		allowed to provide.
17	V.	Do you see that?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And on Page 2, again, there's a section for kind of
20		that can be filled in, and the first sentence of that, if
21		you could just follow along with me, it reads, "The
22		emergency respite hours for the previous ETR"
23		referring to what we marked as Exhibit 12 "have not
24		been used. Dana's mother/guardian has not decided on a
25		contracted provider and has a list of agency 'repite'"

it appears the "S" is missing "providers to choose from."  Did I read that correctly?  Xes.  Is that an accurate statement, that you had not decided on a contracted provider, and that the emergency respite hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  Rut they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  In ight have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  Xes.  I Want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the department didn't do something that it says in this			
pid I read that correctly?  Yes.  Is that an accurate statement, that you had not decided on a contracted provider, and that the emergency respite hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  In they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  In they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  In they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  In they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  In the provided a list of people, correct?  In the provided a list of people, correct?  In the provided walk me through that.  In the provided walk me through that.  In the provided a list of people, correct?  In the provided walk me through that walk me through that.  In the provided walk is a swiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  In the provided walk is a provided to a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit II continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	1		it appears the "S" is missing "providers to choose
Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Is that an accurate statement, that you had not decided on a contracted provider, and that the emergency respite hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  A But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	2		
A Yes.  Q Is that an accurate statement, that you had not decided on a contracted provider, and that the emergency respite hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  A But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  A I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  U I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	3		
on a contracted provider, and that the emergency respite hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  **Real Hours haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  **A I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  **Q But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  **A Yes.  **Q I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the		<b>7</b> A	
on a contracted provider, and that the emergency respite hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  U want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the			
hours had not been had previously been approved had not been used?  But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the		Z	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
not been used?  A But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the			
But they haven't been used because I didn't know who to get in as a respite provider.  But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would walk me through that.  But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  Yes.  I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the			
get in as a respite provider.  11    Q    But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  12    A    I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would  13    walk me through that.  14    Q    But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide  15    you with what you've been asking for, right?  16    A    Yes.  17    Q    I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I  18    want you to take as much time as you need to answer this  19    question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph  20    we already read, to discuss a number of different things  21    that the Department of Social & Health Services did  22    between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  23    I guess my question for you is whether anything  24    that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the		_	
11 Q But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?  12 A I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would  13 walk me through that.  14 Q But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide  15 you with what you've been asking for, right?  16 A Yes.  17 Q I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I  18 want you to take as much time as you need to answer this  19 question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph  20 we already read, to discuss a number of different things  21 that the Department of Social & Health Services did  22 between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  23 I guess my question for you is whether anything  24 that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the		A	
A I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would  walk me through that.  Q But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  Q I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	10		get in as a respite provider.
walk me through that.  14 Q But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide 15 you with what you've been asking for, right?  16 A Yes.  17 Q I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I 18 want you to take as much time as you need to answer this 19 question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph 20 we already read, to discuss a number of different things 21 that the Department of Social & Health Services did 22 between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  23 I guess my question for you is whether anything 24 that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	11	Q	But you'd been provided a list of people, correct?
Q But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	12	A	I might have, but I would have hoped that somebody would
you with what you've been asking for, right?  A Yes.  I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	13		walk me through that.
If want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	14	Q	But again, the department's waiving its rules to provide
I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	15		you with what you've been asking for, right?
want you to take as much time as you need to answer this question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	16	A	Yes.
question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	17	Q	I want to come back to Exhibit 11 for a minute. And I
we already read, to discuss a number of different things that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	18		want you to take as much time as you need to answer this
that the Department of Social & Health Services did between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	19		question. Exhibit 11 continues on, after the paragraph
between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.  I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	20		we already read, to discuss a number of different things
I guess my question for you is whether anything that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	21		that the Department of Social & Health Services did
that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the	22		between June 22nd of 2007 and May 1 of 2008.
	23		I guess my question for you is whether anything
department didn't do something that it says in this	24		that's in here is inaccurate; if you believe that the
	25		department didn't do something that it says in this

1		letter it did.
2		Do you understand my question?
3	A	Yes. But I would have to go through this.
4	Q	And I'd like you to do that, and if you want to go
5		through paragraph by paragraph, we can do that, or if
6		it's easier for you to take a minute and just read it and
7		identify things that you believe are inaccurate, that
8		would be fine, too.
9	A	I'll read it.
10	Q	Okay.
11	A	I'm finished.
12	Q	Is there anything in the letter that's been marked as
13		Exhibit 11 that you believe was inaccurate?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay. So if you look at Page 2 just really briefly, one,
16		two, three, four, five paragraphs down, that reads,
17		"During the month of July 2007"
18	A	Uh-huh.
19	Q	do you see that?
20	A	Uh-huh.
21	Q	It references how referrals for supported living services
22		were sent to the 15 providers in Region 5. So the
23		department sent things sent these referrals to more
24		than just the one agency, correct?
25	A	Yes.

_			1 age of
	1	Q	And the department offered to send referral packets out
	2	£	to other regions, right?
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	And you said no?
	5	∠ A	Well, Dana and I felt we should be closer together.
	6	Q	Fair enough.
	7		Uh-huh.
		A	
	8	Q	But you said no?
	9	A	Yes.
	10	Q	Would you agree that the department worked very hard to
	11		provide Dana with services that she needed after services
	12		were terminated with Aacres?
	13	A	Yes.
	14	Q	And again, you don't believe that anybody at the
	15		department intentionally hurt Dana or you in any way,
	16		right?
	17	A	No, not intentionally, no.
	18	Q	And you don't believe that anybody at the department
	19		discriminated against you or Dana in any way?
	20	A	No.
	21	Q	Do you believe the department tried to exclude Dana from
	22		participating in any program that it offers?
	23	A	No.
	24	Q	So the department didn't prevent Dana from receiving
	25		services from another supported living provider
	1		
- 1	1		

		i ago oc
1	A	No.
2		
	Q	correct?
3		And Dana hasn't been well, strike that.
4		In your opinion, has Dana, at any point since June
5		of 2007, been in danger of being institutionalized?
6	A	No.
7	Q	I want to come back to something we were talking about
8		earlier. Do you believe that DSHS controlled Aacres in
9		any way?
10	A	I wouldn't know the answer to that. I mean
11	Q	You wouldn't know one way or the other?
12	A	No, I wouldn't know that.
13	Q	Do you have any evidence that DSHS did control Aacres?
14	A	No. I don't I don't know.
15	Q	Through this lawsuit, are you asking the Court to order
16		DSHS to change anything about its programs?
17	A	Personally I would like to see something for other
18		people, too.
19	Q	Is that related to this lawsuit or something else,
20		though?
21	A	Just a general oversight. It has nothing to do with the
22		lawsuit. I would like them
23	Q	That's okay. If it has nothing to do with the lawsuit,
24		then it has nothing to do with the lawsuit.
25	A	Okay, okay.

	1	0	And you alsiming through this lavanit that the
		Q	Are you claiming, through this lawsuit, that the
	2		department retaliated against you or Dana for doing
	3		something?
	4	A	No. Can I take that back?
	5	Q	What's what do you want to change about that answer?
	6	A	Well, I think it's ironic that this event took place
	7		right after the settlement of the first claim against
	8		them.
	9	Q	The first claim against the department?
	10	A	Aacres. I I'm I'm getting the two it's getting
	11		to be a long day.
	12	Q	No, that's okay. And we can take a break in just a
	13		moment. I just want to make sure that we're now on the
	14		same page because we were, and now I'm not sure if we
	15		are, so
	16	A	Right. I'm getting
	17	Q	So through this lawsuit, are you claiming that the
	18		Department of Social & Health Services retaliated against
	19		Dana or you for anything?
	20	A	No.
	21	Q	Through this lawsuit, are you claiming that DSHS failed
	22		to offer Dana services after July of 2007 that she should
	23		have gotten?
-	24	A	No.
	25		MR. BAUER: Why don't we go ahead and

		rage oo
1	A	No.
2	Q	Do you know if she ran away when she was with Aacres?
3	A.	They call it running away. Knowing Dana, as a mother,
4		she goes for walks. That's good just for her anxiety.
5	0	
	Q	And you're aware that, on the occasion of the rape, she
6		was out at 2:30 in the morning walking?
7	A	She went to get cigarettes, and I also know that her
8		staff was asleep when Dana left.
9	Q	And do you know why the staff was still asleep when
10		she when Dana left?
11	A	They were supposed to be awake.
12	Q	And
13	A	So I don't know why.
14	Q	Why do you believe that they were supposed to be awake?
15	A	Because they had the night shift. She had 24-hour care,
16		so they took shifts.
17	Q	That's your understanding?
18	A	That's my understanding.
19	Q	Do you know when they went to 24-hour care with night
20		shifts?
21	A	I don't know.
22	Q	Did Dana ever tell you that she snuck out?
23	A	I think she did a couple of times to visit her friend.
24	Q	Did she tell you she snuck out on the occasion of the
25		rape?